

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: Banco Industrial do Brasil S.A.

Global Credit Research - 29 Apr 2014

Sao Paulo, Brazil

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	Ba2/NP
NSR Bank Deposits -Dom Curr	A1.br/BR-1
Bank Financial Strength	D
Baseline Credit Assessment	ba2
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	ba2

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Alexandre Albuquerque/Sao Paulo	55.11.3043.7300
Alcir Freitas/Sao Paulo	
M. Celina Vansetti/New York City	1.212.553.1653
Thiago Scarelli/Sao Paulo	55.11.3043.7300

Key Indicators

Banco Industrial do Brasil S.A. (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]12-13	[2]12-12	[2]12-11	[2]12-10	[2]12-09	Avg.
Total Assets (BRL billion)	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.2	1.8	[3]5.3
Total Assets (USD billion)	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	[3]-2.4
Tangible Common Equity (BRL billion)	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	[3]4.3
Tangible Common Equity (USD billion)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	[3]-3.3
Net Interest Margin (%)	5.8	4.1	4.2	5.3	7.1	[4]5.3
PPI / Average RWA (%)	3.3	2.5	2.1	3.1	3.4	[5]2.9
Net Income / Average RWA (%)	1.2	1.6	1.1	1.9	1.8	[5]1.5
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) / Total Assets (%)	26.6	21.4	10.5	6.5	-1.6	[4]12.7
Core Deposits / Average Gross Loans (%)	43.4	50.1	67.0	80.8	70.3	[4]62.3
Tier 1 Ratio (%)	16.8	15.5	15.6	17.0	19.7	[5]16.9
Tangible Common Equity / RWA (%)	16.8	15.4	15.5	16.9	19.6	[5]16.8
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	47.2	52.5	56.6	48.7	44.1	[4]49.8
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	2.9	0.8	1.5	0.8	1.5	[4]1.5
Problem Loans / (Equity + Loan Loss Reserves) (%)	9.3	2.9	5.1	2.4	3.5	[4]4.6

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel II; LOCAL GAAP [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on LOCAL GAAP reporting periods [4] LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation [5] Basel II & LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Moody's assigns a standalone baseline credit assessment (BCA) of ba2 to Banco Industrial do Brasil S.A. (BIB), which is equivalent to a bank financial strength of D. BIB's standalone BCA is supported by the bank's well-defined focus on small- and middle-market (SME) lending. The bank's financial strength also benefits from BIB's disciplined operation and management's conservative lending policy, both of which lead to good asset quality.

In Moody's view, BIB would receive no support from the government should a systemic crisis occur, given the bank's small participation in the retail deposit market in Brazil. Because the absence of systemic support results in no lift in notches from the bank's BCA, Moody's assigns a global local currency (GLC) deposit rating of Ba2 to BIB.

Rating Drivers

- Short-term loan portfolio, with operations backed by guarantees
- Historically low leverage, when compared with local peers'
- Maintained profitability despite lower loan related earnings
- Deleveraging of balance sheet in face of upward trend in loan delinquency
- Funding dependence on wholesale deposits
- Adequate capitalization levels

Rating Outlook

All ratings have a stable outlook.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Positive pressure on BIB's ratings could derive from more robust, predictable, and diversified revenue generation and a strengthened franchise as a middle-market lender. Increased diversification in the bank's funding structure could also be positive to ratings, as could maintaining a tight gap in the term structure of assets and liabilities. In addition, ratings could also benefit from further improvement in corporate governance practices.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Negative pressure on BIB's ratings could result from margin compression and a decline in revenues due to harsher competition in the middle-market lending segment. Ratings may also be pushed down as a result of a potential increase in funding costs, which could eventually hit profitability ratios. A consistent decline in profitability could compromise the bank's capacity to replenish capital through earnings, which is also negative for ratings on the long run.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

PROFITABILITY RATIOS ARE RELATIVELY STABLE, DESPITE REDUCTION IN LOAN BOOK

As of December 2013, the bank reported a decline of 24.68% in net income to BRL33.5 million, from BRL44.5 million in 2012. The drop in the bank's bottom-line result was driven mostly by provisions for loan losses, which climbed to BRL48.4 million, four times as high as in December 2012, owed to an increase in non-performing loans to BRL47 million (249% y-o-y). Moreover, revenues from fixed income securities fell 60% to BRL23 million, driven by a 77% reduction in the volume of government securities; therefore, also contributing to net income's weaker performance.

Most of the bank's revenues are originated from lending operations, which accounted for approximately 52% of BIB's earnings in December 2013. Loans to small- and mid-sized companies comprised the majority of the bank's loan book (86% of total). Revenues from securities also make an important contribution, accounting for roughly 13% of total earnings; they are mostly driven by investment in government securities. The composition of BIB's earning mix has not varied significantly over time, which shows consistency in management's strategy for the bank as well as conservatism related to growth.

Despite the reduction in net income, BIB reported net interest margins of 5.76%, compared with 4.1% in December 2012, owed to higher revenues from loans and lower funding costs, even in light of the intense competitive environment in its core market and the rise of benchmark interest rates. The bank's ratio of net income to average

risk-weighted asset (RWA) fell to 1.31%, compared to 1.82% in December 2012; however, the ratio of pre-provision income to average RWA improved to 3.44%, compared to 2.88%.

The bank has reported adequate efficiency ratios over the last few years, in part because of its lean operating structure, and posted an efficiency ratio of 47.2% in December 2013, against 52.5% in 2012

FUNDING BASE IN A DECLINING TREND

BIB's funding structure relies mostly on wholesale-based deposits, in the form of time deposits with financial institutions and asset management companies, and shows high concentration in terms of depositors. Therefore, BIB's funding base is quite similar to those of other banks focused on loan operations with small- and mid-sized companies. Nevertheless, BIB's funding base has shown some qualitative improvements over the last four to five years. More specifically, there has been a change in the deposit mix, with an increase in time deposits with financial institutions and lower participation of pension funds. The former ones tending to be less liquidity-sensitive than the latter ones.

In line with the reduction in its loan portfolio, BIB reported a 20.9% fall in its funding base in December 2013 to BRL1.7 billion (BRL2.1 billion in December 2012), with significant reduction in repos and interbank deposits. However, the bank's issuance of banknotes (letras financeiras) and asset backed instruments (LCAs and LCI) went up in the same period, with a reported balance of BRL485.5 million in December 2013 against BRL261.1 million in 2012. After March 2011, BIB has been making use of DPGEs as an additional source of funding, which, as of December 2013, accounted for 11.1% of BIB's deposits and 5.2% of total funding.

The bank's incursions in international markets include trade finance facilities with multilateral banks, such as a US\$30 million credit line from the IFC. In December 2010, the bank received a US\$60 million A/B loan facility from IFC with tenor of up to 5 years, withdrawing US\$15 million in A loan with the IFC, and a syndicated B loan of US\$19 million plus Euro 7.7 million. BIB also has a 10-year US\$15 million subordinated debt with the DEG due January 2017.

RISING DELINQUENCIES AND PROVISIONING DESPITE HIGH LEVEL OF COLLATERALIZATION

The total volume of loans on BIB's balance sheet fell 3.9% during the 12-month period ending in December 2013, as a result of slow economic activity and increased delinquency of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SME). This has motivated BIB's management to become more conservative towards credit risk. In particular, BIB reported more a relevant decline in trade finance operations.

In 2013, the quality of the bank's loan book worsened with non-performing loans to gross loans climbing to 2.86%, against 0.79% in December 2012, and loan loss reserves to problem loans falling to 111.4%, compared to 134.7% in 2012. The increase in delinquency occurred despite the reduction in the loan book and reflected loan operations that were moved to risk-category H. The bank, however, has constituted guarantees for these operations. As a result, BIB's credit risk is mitigated by the high level of collateralization that exists in the bank's portfolio, in the form of self-liquidating receivables. Moreover, the bank's risk management practices are supported by systems developed in-house that monitor the performance of receivables used as collateral.

CAPITAL RATIOS REMAIN ADEQUATE

Capital ratios have remained at good levels for the past five years. In December 2013, the bank's BIS ratio reached 17.89% against 17.86% in 2012, remaining above local minimum requirement of 11%. The adequate capital cushion presented by BIB reflects the conservative approach of its shareholder towards the expansion of the bank's operation, but also benefits from the reduction in the bank's lending activities. At this juncture, capital does not represent a credit challenge for the bank.

FRANCHISE VALUE

BIB has a modest franchise in the segment of commercial lending to small- and mid-sized companies. Nevertheless, the bank enjoys an adequate track record in that market, which has enabled management to develop an expertise in analyzing client risk profiles and becoming familiar with both the organization and the structure of SMEs. As a result, BIB has built a portfolio fully backed by guarantees with a good liquidity level, as stated by management. As a family-owned bank, BIB is controlled by a single shareholder (Mr. Carlos Mansur); however, it does boast a professionalized management.

In 2005, BIB started to operate in the payroll-deductible lending business. However, the fierce competition in the segment, along with the small margins and high costs imposed by third-party intermediaries responsible for loan

origination, led management to gradually decrease BIB's participation in that segment and to increase its focus on operations oriented to the middle-market segment. In December 2013, loan operations were divided as 86% SME lending and 14% consumer finance products, in the form of payroll loans.

BIB's wholesale operations are concentrated in the southeastern region of Brazil. The bank has seven branches that provide support to its commercial-lending activities.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating (Joint Default Analysis)

Moody's GLC deposit rating of Ba2 for BIB does not receive any lift from the bank's BCA of ba2 because Moody's believes the Brazilian government would probably not extend any support to the bank should a systemic crisis occur. The reason for this is the insignificant size of BIB's participation in Brazil's retail deposit market.

Moody's also does not consider that support from the main shareholder, Mr. Mansur, would be forthcoming. Nevertheless, Mr. Mansur has already demonstrated his prudent attitude towards BIB's solvency by reinvesting dividends in the bank and by maintaining the capital ratio at a high level. According to management, proceeds from the sale of Mr. Mansur's companies would likely be directed to the bank. Furthermore, Mr. Mansur also maintains resources in the bank in the form of deposit certificates.

National Scale Rating

BIB has Brazilian National Scale Ratings of A1.br and BR-1. The ratings are supported by BIB's creditworthiness in its niche market of commercial lending to SMEs.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's assigns a Ba2 foreign currency deposit rating for BIB. The rating is three notches below the country's foreign currency deposit ceiling of Baa2.

ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS

Bank Financial Strength Rating

Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratings (BFSRs) represent Moody's opinion of a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness and, as such, exclude certain external credit risks and credit support elements that are addressed by Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings. Bank Financial Strength Ratings do not take into account the probability that the bank will receive such external support, nor do they address risks arising from sovereign actions that may interfere with a bank's ability to honor its domestic or foreign currency obligations. Factors considered in the assignment of Bank Financial Strength Ratings include bank-specific elements such as financial fundamentals, franchise value, and business and asset diversification. Although Bank Financial Strength Ratings exclude the external factors specified above, they do take into account other risk factors in the bank's operating environment, including the strength and prospective performance of the economy, as well as the structure and relative fragility of the financial system, and the quality of banking regulation and supervision.

Moody's uses the Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) to map BFSRs onto the 21-point aaa-c rating scale and like the BFSR, it reflects a bank stand-alone default risk. Each point on the aaa-c scale represents a specific probability of default and therefore allows Moody's to use the BCA as an input to Moody's Joint Default Analysis (JDA), described below. The baseline credit assessment reflects what the local currency deposit rating of the bank with the given BFSR would be without any assumed external support from a government or third party.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating

A deposit rating, as an opinion of relative credit risk, incorporates the Bank Financial Strength Rating as well as Moody's opinion of any external support. Specifically, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are opinions of a bank's ability to repay punctually its deposit obligations. As such, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are intended to incorporate those aspects of credit risk relevant to the prospective payment performance of rated banks with respect to deposit obligations, and includes: intrinsic financial strength, sovereign transfer risk (in the case of foreign currency deposit ratings), and both implicit and explicit external support elements. Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings do not take into account the benefit of deposit insurance schemes which make payments to depositors, but they do recognize the potential support from schemes that may provide assistance to banks directly.

According to Moody's joint default analysis (JDA) methodology, the global local currency deposit rating of a bank is determined by the incorporation of any external elements of support into the bank's Baseline Credit

Assessment. In assigning the local currency deposit rating to a bank, the JDA methodology also factors in the rating of the various potential support providers (parent company, cooperative group, regional or national governments), as well as the degree of dependence that may exist between each one of them and the bank. Moody's assessment of the probability of systemic support (by a national government) is derived from the analysis of the capacity of a government and its central bank to provide support on a system-wide basis. The systemic support indicator is determined for a particular country and serves as an input for all bank ratings in that country. The support indicator can be set at, above or, in rare cases, below the government's local currency bond rating for that country.

National Scale Rating

National scale ratings are intended primarily for use by domestic investors and are not comparable to Moody's globally applicable ratings; rather they address relative credit risk within a given country. A Aaa rating on Moody's National Scale indicates an issuer or issue with the strongest creditworthiness and the lowest likelihood of credit loss relative to other domestic issuers. National Scale Ratings, therefore, rank domestic issuers relative to each other and not relative to absolute default risks. National ratings isolate systemic risks; they do not address loss expectation associated with systemic events that could affect all issuers, even those that receive the highest ratings on the National Scale.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's ratings on foreign currency bank obligations derive from the bank's local currency rating for the same class of obligation. The implementation of JDA for banks can lead to a high local currency ratings for certain banks, which could also produce high foreign currency ratings. Nevertheless, it should be noted that foreign currency deposit ratings are in all cases constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bank deposits. This may result in the assignment of a different, and typically lower, rating for the foreign currency deposits relative to the bank's rating for local currency obligations.

Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Foreign currency debt ratings are derived from the bank's local currency debt rating. In a similar way to foreign currency deposit ratings, foreign currency debt obligations may also be constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bonds and notes: however, in some cases the ratings on foreign currency debt obligations may be allowed to pierce the foreign currency ceiling. A particular mix of rating factors are taken into consideration in order to assess whether a foreign currency bond rating pierces the country ceiling. They include the issuer's global local currency rating, the foreign currency government bond rating, the country ceiling for bonds and the debt's eligibility to pierce that ceiling.

About Moody's Bank Financial Strength Scorecard

Moody's bank financial strength model (see scorecard below) is a strategic input in the assessment of the financial strength of a bank, used as a key tool by Moody's analysts to ensure consistency of approach across banks and regions. The model output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

Banco Industrial do Brasil S.A.

Rating Factors [1]	A	B	C	D	E	Total Score	Trend
Qualitative Factors (70%)						D+	
Factor: Franchise Value						D	Neutral
Market share and sustainability				x			
Geographical diversification				x			
Earnings stability				x			
Earnings Diversification [2]							
Factor: Risk Positioning						E	Neutral
Corporate Governance [2]					x		

- Ownership and Organizational Complexity				x			
- Key Man Risk				x			
- Insider and Related-Party Risks					x		
Controls and Risk Management			x				
- Risk Management				x			
- Controls		x					
Financial Reporting Transparency				x			
- Global Comparability				x			
- Frequency and Timeliness	x						
- Quality of Financial Information				x			
Credit Risk Concentration				x			
- Borrower Concentration				x			
- Industry Concentration		x					
Liquidity Management				x			
Market Risk Appetite			x				
Factor: Operating Environment						D	Neutral
Economic Stability					x		
Integrity and Corruption				x			
Legal System			x				
Financial Factors (30%)						C+	
Factor: Profitability						C+	Neutral
PPI % Average RWA (Basel II)		2.62%					
Net Income % Average RWA (Basel II)			1.31%				
Factor: Liquidity						D	Neutral
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) % Total Assets				19.49%			
Liquidity Management				x			
Factor: Capital Adequacy						A	Neutral
Tier 1 Ratio (%) (Basel II)	15.94%						
Tangible Common Equity % RWA (Basel II)	15.89%						
Factor: Efficiency						B	Neutral
Cost / Income Ratio		52.09%					
Factor: Asset Quality						B+	Neutral
Problem Loans % Gross Loans			1.72%				
Problem Loans % (Equity + LLR)	5.76%						
Lowest Combined Financial Factor Score (9%)						D	
Economic Insolvency Override						Neutral	
Aggregate BFSR Score						C-	
Aggregate BCA Score						baa1/baa2	
Assigned BFSR						D	
Assigned BCA						ba2	

[1] - Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.

[2] - A blank score under Earnings Diversification or Corporate Governance indicates the risk is neutral.

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to

use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.